

---

**From:** ; Admin Support Perth; Registry Angus Smith  
**Sent:** 14 May 2019 14:45  
**To:** ;  
**Subject:** CAS Assessment - PPC/E/0020065(434785) - At Risk

Please find below CAS report for PPC/E/20065.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you wish to discuss this report further.

Kind Regards

## **1. Assessment Summary**

Licence reference number:	PPC/E/0020065
ELC assessment:	No Breaches
Interim EMC assessment:	Low Performance
Overall interim assessment:	At Risk
Safety risk assessment for site (Is the current RA adequate? Are there any particular additional risks?)	

## **2. Assessment Details**

Date and time of assessment: 29/04/2019 15:15	
Site name:	2 Sisters Poultry Limited, Coupar Angus
Site address:	George Street, Coupar Angus, PH13 9LU
Assessment type:	Standard inspection

## **3. Components of licence assessed**

Environmental Limit Condition (ELC)

### **ELC Assessment**

<b>Licence condition number</b>	<b>ELC assessment - (compliant, minor, repeated minor, gross or significant)</b>	<b>Description of non-compliance with ELC (include follow-up actions to rectify non-compliance, timescales and reporting requirements) - Where several breached ELCs are linked, refer to first condition number and associated non-compliance described</b>
3.3.1	No Breaches	-

Environmental Management Condition (EMC)

EMC attribute	License condition number	EMC assessment - (compliant, minor, major)	Overall assessment	Description of non-compliance with EMC (include follow-up actions to rectify non-compliance, timescales and reporting requirements) - Where there is a link to a breached ELC refer to condition number as identified above
Maintenance of processes, plant and installations to relevant standards	implied	Major	Major	No evidence of a coherent maintenance programme in place: Carbon filters
Internal/external audits undertaken	implied	Minor	Minor	Daily odour checks: Audits undertaken but are limited in scope.
undefined				

### 4. Discussions

#### Purpose of visit:

SEPA received a number of odour complaints regarding 2 Sisters during the Easter weekend. SEPA standby service was in operation over Easter, however due to a major incident elsewhere the complaints at 2 sisters in Coupar Angus were not attended by standby officers. Therefore the odour complaints were not substantiated by SEPA at the time.

#### SEPA Offsite Odour Assessment:

SEPA officers Emma Kennedy (EK) and Brendan Craig (BC) carried out a FIDOL assessment at various pre-determined locations in Coupar Angus prior to visiting the site, on this occasion we detected no offensive odour (Condition 3.3.1).

#### Odour Monitoring:

**Daily odour checks:** Condition 3.2. **EMC: Minor non-compliance.** During SEPA's visit we requested to see the Odour Monitoring reports undertaken On-site. These reports were routinely completed however it was noted that the vast majority of assessments were marked as 'no odour'. SEPA were advised by the site that the daily checks are carried out by engineering operators who work within the DAF plant. **ACTION:** SEPA have advised that this procedure be revised and assessments are carried out by staff who are aware of the different processes on site but do not routinely work within these areas to help reduce the effects of 'olfactory fatigue'. Offsite odour inspections are not currently routinely undertaken. These should be conducted daily in order to pro-actively alert the operator to any odour issues which may have an impact on the local community. A pro forma should be introduced detailing what actions have been taken

following an odour detection on / off site including the date, time, where odour was detected, the checks carried out to identify the potential source i.e carbon filters any breakthrough?, Scrubber unit working effectively?, fans functional?, most recent sludge / blood removals?, any incidents or spillages on site? etc. Best practice would also include the introduction of independent odour assessments.

**EMC: Major non- compliance** - SEPA continue to await details on the maintenance of the carbon filters (requested via the evaluation of odour control systems questionnaire). Currently the filters are used to abate odour from the following processes / locations; feather shed, evisceration, sludge storage/ removal, blood removal. **ACTION:** SEPA require to know what procedures / checks are in place to monitor the carbon media- what indicators are used to determine when the media needs replacing? (this should be well before the media breakpoint), what is the current removal efficiency? This information should be communicated directly to SEPA and included as an update to the OMP - Condition 3.3.

## **5. Assessment specific details**

---

Other SEPA staff present:

Operator representatives met on site:

Relevant weather: Dry/ Sunny/ Warm/ Slight overcast/ Little wind

## **6. Assessment sign-off**

---

Name of inspecting officer:

Team name: Perth

## **7. Office information only**

---

Was the inspection announced?: No

Adhoc / Follow Up:

Inspection code: 320289PPC

Schedule type: EAST\_OPS-PERTH-PERTH

Month scheduled: MAY

Month completed: 29/04/2019

Registry copy required? Yes

File reference: PPC/E/20065

---

The information contained in this email is confidential and is intended solely for the use of the named addressee. Access, copying or re-use of the information in it by any other is not authorised. If you are not the intended recipient please notify us immediately by return email to [postmaster@sepa.org.uk](mailto:postmaster@sepa.org.uk).

SEPA registered office: Strathallan House, Castle Business Park, Stirling, FK9 4TZ.

Under the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000, the email system at SEPA may be subject to monitoring from time to time.